

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Addiese: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P O Box 1450 Alexandra, Virginia 22313-1450 www.wepto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/559,749	01/19/2006	Ingrid Bach	09931-00051-US	5947	
23-16 7590 07/29/2008 CONNOLLY BOVE LODGE & HUTZ, LLP P O BOX 2207			EXAM	EXAMINER	
			KOSACK, JOSEPH R		
WILMINGTO	N, DE 19899		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			1626	•	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
			07/29/2008	PAPER	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Office Action Summary

Application No.	Applicant(s)	
10/559,749	BACH ET AL.	
Examiner	Art Unit	
Joseph R. Kosack	1626	

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --Period for Reply

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. Esturaions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1/30(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filled after SN (6) MONTHS from the nating date of the communication. If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SN (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communicatic Failure to reply within the set or estended period for reply with the set of reply within the set or estended period for reply with under the cause the application to become ARMONDEN (30 KLS, C) stats). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filled, may reduce any earned patter time adjustment. See 37 CFR 174(b).
Status
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 06 August 2007.
2a) This action is FINAL . 2b) This action is non-final.
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits i
closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.
Disposition of Claims
4) Claim(s) 1-16 is/are pending in the application.
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-16</u> is/are rejected.
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.
Application Papers
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).
a)⊠ All b)□ Some * c)□ None of:
Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.
Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No

- 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).
- * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.

Attachment(S
-------------	---

- 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)
- Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) X Information Disclosure Statement(s) (FTO/SE/06)
 - Paper No(s)/Mail Date 12/06/05 & 08/06/07.

4)	Interview Summary (PTO-413
	Paper No(s)/Mail Date.

5) Notice of Informal Patent Application

Page 2

Application/Control Number: 10/559,749

Art Unit: 1626

DETAILED ACTION

Claims 1-16 are pending in the instant application.

Priority

The claim to priority as a 371 filing of PCT/EP04/05853 filed on May 29, 2004, which claims priority to DE 103 25 820.5 filed on June 7, 2003 is acknowledged in the instant application.

Information Disclosure Statement

The Information Disclosure Statements filed on December 6, 2005 and August 6, 2007 have been considered by the Examiner.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

The following is a quotation of the first paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall contain a written description of the invention, and of the manner and process of making and using it, in such full, clear, concise, and exact terms as to enable any person skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and use the same and shall set forth the best mode contemplated by the inventor of carrying out his invention.

Claims 1-16 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, as failing to comply with the written description requirement. The claim(s) contains subject matter which was not described in the specification in such a way as to reasonably convey to one skilled in the relevant art that the inventor(s), at the time the application was filed, had possession of the claimed invention.

In the instant case, a process for forming carbon-metal bonds by orthometal action is claimed using one or more organic compounds with a C-H bond and at least one metal. The specification only details one example using 2-phenylpyridine and Na[IrCl2(acac)2]. While working examples are not required, they can be extremely

Application/Control Number: 10/559,749

Art Unit: 1626

helpful in the chemical arts where an extremely broad genus is claimed. It is well known in the art that a process that works for one metal and one ligand system may not work for another metal or another ligand system. Therefore, a person of skill in the art would expect to see a number of examples covering the vast scope claimed in order to conclude that the Applicant was in possession of the claimed invention at the time of filing. Hence, the claims do not meet the written description provision of 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph.

Claims 1-16 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, because the specification, while being enabling for reactions involving 2-phenylpyridine and iridium, does not reasonably provide enablement for any other ligand/metal combination. The specification does not enable any person skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make or use the invention commensurate in scope with these claims.

The nature of the invention is a process for forming carbon-metal bonds by orthometalation is claimed using one or more organic compounds with a C-H bond and at least one metal. The organic compound can be any compound with a C-H bond and the metal can be any metal. The state of the inorganic chemistry art is that a process that works for one metal and one ligand system may not work for another metal or another ligand system. The only example that is provided is that of a reaction involving 2-phenylpyridine and Na[IrCl2(acac)2]. Therefore, in view of the evidence found, one of skill in the art would require undue experimentation in order to practice the invention to the full scope claimed.

Application/Control Number: 10/559,749

Art Unit: 1626

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Claims 1-8 and 10-14 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as anticipated by Konno et al. (*Chemistry Letters*, 2003, 252-253, Released February 12, 2003).

Konno et al. teach a process for forming tris-ortho-metalated iridium III complexes using microwave radiation of 2450 Mhz from IrCl3 hydrates and 2-phenylpyridine in a ratio of 1:100. See Scheme 1, page 252 and Table 2, page 253.

While Konno et al. do not teach the wattage of the microwave or the temperature of the reaction mixture, one of skill in the art would determine that the Konno process meets the wattage (1 to 10,000 watts per liter) and temperature requirements (over 40° C) as most microwave ovens range between 1 and 10,000 watts and microwaves force the molecules in the reaction mixture to vibrate faster and faster, leading to a rise in temperature above room temperature (25° C.) Therefore, Konno inherently anticipates the claims.

Conclusion

Claims 1-16 are rejected.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Joseph R. Kosack whose telephone number is (571)272-5575. The examiner can normally be reached on M-Th 6:30-5:00.

Application/Control Number: 10/559,749 Page 5

Art Unit: 1626

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Joseph McKane can be reached on (571)-272-0699. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

/REI-TSANG SHIAO / Primary Examiner, Art Unit 1626

/Joseph R Kosack/ Examiner, Art Unit 1626